

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	08/997,202	MYERS, GERALD L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gladys J Piazza Corcoran	1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Gladys J Piazza Corcoran.

(2) Richard S. MacMillan.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None.

**Claims discussed:**

43

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant to ask for clarification as to whether claim 43 was intended to be treated as cancelled (as amended in the Amendment filed May 7, 2002) or as a new claim. Applicant clarified that the inclusion of claim 43 as an active claim was an inadvertent error and that claim 43 should be treated as cancelled as amended in the Amendment filed on May 7, 2002. .